PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION (PCT Rule 61.2) Date of mailing (day/month/year) 13 August 1999 (13.08.99) International application No. PCT/US98/27322 International filing date (day/month/year) 21 December 1998 (21.12.98) Applicant RAMAIN, T., V. et al 1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made: \[\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c		From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU
United States Patent and Trademark Office Box PCT Washington, D.C.20231 ETATS-UNIS D'AMÉRIQUE Date of mailing (day/month/year) 13 August 1999 (13.08.99) International application No. PCT/US98/27322 International filing date (day/month/year) 21 December 1998 (21.12.98) Applicant RAMAN, T., V. et al 1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made: \[\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	PCT	То:
International application No. PCT/US98/27322 International filing date (day/month/year) 21 December 1998 (21.12.98) Applicant RAMAN, T., V. et al 1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made: X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on: 24 June 1999 (24.06.99) in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on: The election X was was not made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).		United States Patent and Trademark Office Box PCT Washington, D.C.20231
International application No. PCT/US98/27322 International filing date (day/month/year) 21 December 1998 (21.12.98) Applicant RAMAN, T., V. et al 1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made: X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on: 24 June 1999 (24.06.99) in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on: was not made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).	•	in its capacity as elected Office
International filing date (day/month/year) 21 December 1998 (21.12.98) Applicant RAMAN, T., V. et al 1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made: X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on: 24 June 1999 (24.06.99) in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on: was not made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).		Applicant's or agent's file reference
Applicant RAMAN, T., V. et al 1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made: X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on: 24 June 1999 (24.06.99) in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on: 2. The election X was was not made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).		
Applicant RAMAN, T., V. et al 1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made: X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on: 24 June 1999 (24.06.99) in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made: X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on: 24 June 1999 (24.06.99) in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:	21 December 1998 (21.12.98)	23 December 1997 (23.12.97)
1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made: X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on: 24 June 1999 (24.06.99)	Applicant	
X In the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on: 24 June 1999 (24.06.99) In a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on: 2. The election X was was not was not was not made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).	RAMAN, T., V. et al	
2. The election X was was not was not made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).	X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary 24 June 1999 (Examining Authority on: 24.06.99)
Authorized officer	2. The election X was was was not made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority d Rule 32.2(b).	ate or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Jean-Marie McAdams

Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

, PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

				_
REC'D	13	JUL	2000	
			_	
٠.,				-

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

07844/304WO1 International application No.	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of Internation Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/4)
PCT/US98/27322	
International Patent Classification (IDC)	(day/month/year) 21 DECEMBER 1998 23 DECEMBER 1997
International Patent Classification (IPC) of Please See Supplemental Sheet.	or national classification and IPC RECEIVE
Applicant ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED	NOV 1 3 200
1. This international preliminary	Technology Center :
Examining Authority and is tra	y examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary ansmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a to	tal of sheets
This report is also account	· ···
been amended and are the b	nied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have passis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority
and beenon	00/ Of the Administrative I
totalst of a total	sheets.
3. This report contains indications r	elating to the following items:
I X Basis of the report	
II Priority	
III Non-establishment of	f report with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability
IV I lack of units act	and to hoverty, inventive step or industrial applicability
Lack of unity of inve	ention
	-indoli
V X Reasoned statement un citations and explanation	nder Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
V X Reasoned statement un citations and explanation	nder Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; ons supporting such statement
V X Reasoned statement un citations and explanation VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the in	nder Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; disconsission of the statement of the step of the statement of the stat
V X Reasoned statement un citations and explanation VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the in	nder Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; disconsission of the statement of the step of the statement of the stat
V X Reasoned statement un citations and explanation VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the in	nder Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; ons supporting such statement
V X Reasoned statement un citations and explanation VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the in	nder Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; disconsission of the statement of the step of the statement of the stat
V X Reasoned statement un citations and explanation VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the in	nder Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; disconsission of the statement of the step of the statement of the stat
V X Reasoned statement un citations and explanation VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the in	nder Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; disconsission of the statement of the step of the statement of the stat
V X Reasoned statement un citations and explanation VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the in VIII Certain observations on	nder Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; disconsission of the statement of the step of the statement of the stat
V X Reasoned statement un citations and explanation VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the in	nder Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; one supporting such statement application the international application
V X Reasoned statement un citations and explanation VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the in VIII Certain observations on	Date of completion of this report
V X Reasoned statement un citations and explanations and explanations. VI Certain documents cited vii Certain defects in the in viii Certain observations on the demand.	nder Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; one supporting such statement application the international application
V X Reasoned statement un citations and explanation VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the in VIII Certain observations on Certain observations on the demand 21 DECEMBER 1999	Date of completion of this report Date of completion of this report 14 JUNE 2000
V X Reasoned statement un citations and explanation vi Certain documents cited vii Certain defects in the in viii Certain observations on Certain observations on the demand DECEMBER 1999 The and mailing address of the IPEA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks	Date of completion of this report 14 JUNE 2000 Authorized officer
V X Reasoned statement un citations and explanation vi Certain documents cited vii Certain defects in the in viii Certain observations on Certain observations on the demand DECEMBER 1999 The and mailing address of the IPEA/US Commissioner of Patents and Tendanations.	Date of completion of this report Date 2000 Date 2000 Date 2000 Date 2000

- INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US98/27322

I. B	asis of the	r port		
1 With	negard to the	he elements of the intern	sational application:*	··
[x]	•	ational application as	••	
=	the descri		o ongay mod	
x	pages	•		
	pages			
	pages		, filed with the letter of	
	pages		, filed with the fetter of	
\mathbf{x}	the claims	s:		
ت ا	pages	40-52		as originally filed
	pages	NONE	, as amended (together with any	
	pages	NONE		, filed with the demand
	pages	NONE	, filed with the letter of	
х	the drawing			
	pages			
	pages		7. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	
	pages	NONE	, filed with the letter of	
(T)	the cooper	na listing port of the	description:	
X	pages	nce listing part of the one NONE		on originally filed
	nages	NONE	, filed with the letter of	, med with the demand
	Pugos	•	, med with the letter of	
the	international se elements the langua the langua	l application was filed, were available or furnis age of a translation funder age of publication of	nents marked above were available or furnished to this Aurless otherwise indicated under this item. shed to this Authority in the following languageurnished for the purposes of international search the international application (under Rule 48.3(b) mished for the purposes of international preliminary expenses of the purposes of international preliminary expenses.	which is: (under Rule 23.1(b)).
	liminary ex	camination was carried	or amino acid sequence disclosed in the internation d out on the basis of the sequence listing:	nal application, the international
	contained	in the international	application in printed form.	
	filed toget	ther with the internat	ional application in computer readable form.	
	furnished	subsequently to this	Authority in written form.	
一一	furnished	subsequently to this	Authority in computer readable form.	
믐		•	ntly furnished written sequence listing does not go	heyand the disclasure in the
Ш		al application as filed		beyond the disclosure in the
	The statem been furnis		n recorded in computer readable form is identical to t	he writen sequence listing has
4 X	The amer	ndments have resulted	d in the cancellation of:	
تت	N .	4	NONE	
	the	description, pages	HONE	
	the	claims, Nos.	NONE	
	X the	drawings, sheets/fig	NONE	
5. X	This report	t has been drawn as if ((some of) the amendments had not been made, since the	ney have been considered to go
L			indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	•.
in t	lacement she	ets which have been fur	nished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation I are not annexed to this report since they do not co	
**Any	replaceme	nt sheet containing suc	h amendments must be referred to under item 1 and	annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US98/27322

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-92	YES
		Claims	NONE	NO
	Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	NONE	YES
		Claims	1-92	NO
		.	1.00	
	Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-92	YES
		Claims	NONE	NO

2. citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Claims 1-92 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Winiger et al. in view of Shaw et al..

With respect to claims 38-92, Winiger et al. discloses "generating and storing in the first document description file a description of an operation" ("the document parts (components) are provided . . ."—abstract) "generating and storing in the first document description file a location description for the referenced document, the location description providing sufficient information to enable a program to locate and retrieve the entire contents of the reference document" ("fig. 6 discloses generating and storing of description file"—drawings) "that can be performed on the document to produce information derived from the referenced document" ("this context reference is made to local values . . ."—column 7, line 14).

Winiger et al. does not disclose "wherein the description of the location comprises a uniform resource locator", however, it is notoriously known in the art to implement a uniform resource locator for describing the location of document attributes.

Winiger et al. discloses "wherein the uniform resource locator identifies a server configured to produce the referenced document upon request" ("transfer a specific component from one document to another . . . "—column 8, line 16).

Winiger et al. discloses "wherein the uniform resource locator identifies a location at which the referenced document is stored" ("fig.1b teaches the above limitation-drawings)

Winiger et al. discloses "a transformation of the document filed stored in a first storage format to a filed stored in a second storage format and is distinct from the file stored in the second storage format" (locally or sub-locally differing components . . . "--column 15, line 47.

Winiger et al. discloses "wherein the second document description file describes the first document description file" ("sub-components which also have properties corresponding . . "--column 16, line 55).

Winiger et al. discloses "extraction of information from the referenced document" ("fig. 2 teaches the above limitation--drawings).

Winiger et al. does not disclose "the document represents a (Continued on Supplemental Sheet.)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US98/27322

Supplement	al B x
------------	--------

(T be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII

Sheet 10

CLASSIFICATION:

The International Patent Classification (IPC) and/or the National classification are as listed below: IPC(6): G06F 13/00, 17/21, 3/00; G06T 11/00 and US Cl.: 707/500, 513, 516, 4, 523, 525, 524, 526

I. BASIS OF REPORT:

5. (Some) amendments are considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed: NONE

V. 2. REASONED STATEMENTS - CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS (Continued):

multi-layered graphical object, and the information extracted from the document describes a subset of the layers of the multi-layered graphical object." Shaw et al. discloses "the document represents a multi-layered graphical object, and the information extracted from the document describes a subset of the layers of the multi-layered graphical object" ("a graphics device interface having an implementation of the plurality of the graphics device interface functions . . ."—column 71, line 12). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teaches of Shaw "the document represents a multi-layered graphical object, and the information extracted from the document describes a subset of the layers of the multi-layered graphical object" ("a graphics device")

interface having an implementation of the plurality of the graphics device interface functions . . . "--column 71, line 12 with the teaching of Winiger above, because using the steps of "the document represents a multi-layered graphical object, and the information extracted from the document describes a subset of the layers of the multi-layered graphical object" would have given those skilled in the art the ability to represent layered image objects of a document.

Winiger et al. discloses "wherein application-specific data comprises a name of an application that produced the document" ("fig. 4 teaches the above limitation . . ."—drawings)

With respect to claims 1-37, refer to arguments given above for claims 38-92.

Response to Applicant's arguments

With respect to applicant's arguments concerning "neither Winiger et al. nor Shaw et al. discloses generating a document description file . . .", have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Examiner contends that Winiger's teachings involving "the document parts (components) are provided with properties . . ."--abstract is illustrative of a file that describes the file's appearance or content.

NEW CITATIONS	
EPO 0325316 XP (WINIGER et al.)	26 JULY 1989, see columns 1-16, lines 1-60.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AΤ	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
ΑU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
ΑZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Мопасо	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	\mathbf{TG}	Togo
ВВ	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece		Republic of Macedonia	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
ВJ	Benin	ΙE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Кепуа	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	zw	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon		Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
l							

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US98/27322

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC(6): GO6F 13/00, 17/21, 3/00; GO6T 11/00 US CL: 707/500, 513, 516, 4, 523, 525, 524, 526 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC						
	DS SEARCHED					
Minimum do	ocumentation searched (classification system followed	by classification syr	nbols)			
U.S . : 7	707/500, 513, 516, 4, 523, 525, 524, 526					
Documentati	ion searched other than minimum documentation to the	extent that such docu	ments are included	in the fields searched		
Electronic d	ata base consulted during the international search (na	me of data base and,	where practicable,	search terms used)		
C. DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		-			
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where ap	propriate, of the relev	ant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
Y,P	US 5,794,234 A (CHURCH ET AL.) document.	11 AUGUST 19	98, see entire	1-92		
A	US 5,604,843 A (SHAW ET AL.) 18	997	1-92			
Furt	her documents are listed in the continuation of Box C	. See pate	nt family annex.			
<u> </u>	pecial categories of cited documents:	*T* later documen	nt published after the int	ernational filing date or priority		
A do	ocument defining the general state of the art which is not considered	date and not	in conflict with the app or theory underlying the	lication but cited to understand		
l.	be of particular relevance			e claimed invention cannot be		
ł	whier document published on or after the international filing date comment which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is		ovel or cannot be conside cument is taken alone	ered to involve an inventive step		
ci	ted to establish the publication date of another citation or other			e claimed invention cannot be		
O de	comment referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other	combined wit		step when the document is high documents, such combination the art		
P de	ocument published prior to the international filing date but later than se priority date claimed	*&* document me	ember of the same paten	t family		
Date of the	Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report					
24 FEBR	24 FEBRUARY 1999 1 2 MAY 1999					
	mailing address of the ISA/US	Authorized officer				
Commission Box PCT	oner of Patents and Trademarks	JOE FEILD	Joni	Hill		
Facsimile)	•	Telephone No. ((703) 305-3900			